COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(B)

TEXAS COLLEGE BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(B): A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the COA in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

4.0.3: The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely updates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's Commission on Accreditation (COA) must measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures to assess their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs they may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher). It aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence, including two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered the achievement of that competency. Suppose the program has more than one program option. In that case, the program must report data for each program option and an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the

weblink to the published form on the program's website, where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must always be collected within 2 years of today's date.

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of measures included in the data presented.

Dimension(s) assessed: Knowledge, Skills, Values, Cognitive and Affective Processes When/where students are assessed: Fall and Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison	Assessment Measure #1: (INSTRUMENT NAME)			
Skills, Values, Cognitive and Affective Processes When/where students are assessed: Fall and Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Knowledge,		
Cognitive and Affective Processes When/where students are assessed: Fall and Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		_		
When/where students are assessed: When/where students are assessed: Fall and Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison				
When/where students are assessed: Fall and Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		_		
Spring Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Processes		
Semester SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison	When/where students are assessed:	Fall and		
SOCW 4601 Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Spring		
Field Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Semester		
Instruction I and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		SOCW 4601		
and SOCW 4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Field		
4622 Field Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Instruction I		
Instruction II combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		and SOCW		
combine averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		4622 Field		
averaged scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Instruction II		
scores in Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		combine		
Social Service Agencies Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		averaged		
Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		scores in		
Who assessed student competence: Field Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Social Service		
Instructors, Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison				
Field Director, and Faculty Field Liaison	Who assessed student competence:	Field		
Director, and Faculty Field Liaison		Instructors,		
Faculty Field Liaison		Field		
Liaison		Director, and		
		•		
Outcome Measure Banchmark (minimum score in disctive of achievement)		Liaison		
Outcome Massure Danchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) Ctudante must				
	Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Students must		
for Competencies 1-9:	for Competencies 1-9:			
minimum of 4				
on a scale of 5 on each of the				
nine				
competencies				

Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	Benchmark of 80%
Assessment Measure #2: (IN-COURSE EMBEDDED ASSIGNM	ENTS)
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,
	Skills, Valves,
	Cognitive and
	Affective
	Processes
When/where students are assessed:	Fall and
	Spring
	Semester in
	SOCW 3303
	Ethics and
	Values and
	the Helping
	Profession
	(C1), SOCW
	4303 Cultural
	Competence
	in Social
	Work Practice
	(C2)
	SOCW 3302
	Social
	Welfare
	Legislation &
	Policy (C3),
	SOCW 4301
	Evidence-
	Base Practice
	(C4), SOCW
	3307,
	SOCW 3302
	Social
	Welfare
	Legislation
	(C5), Social
	Work Practice
	Individuals
	and Families
	(C6),

	SOCW 4305
	Social Work
	Practice with
	Groups (C7),
	SOCW 4305
	Social Work
	Practice and
	Groups (C8)
	SOCW 4301
	Evidence-
	Base Practice
	(C9)
Who assessed student competence:	Assigned
	Professor to
	Courses
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	Students must
for Competencies 1-9:	achieve a
	minimum
	a score of 4
	on a scale of 5
	in each of the
	nine
	competencies
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	Benchmark
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-	80%
9:	

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2023-2024)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK			
		of Students from All	#1 (identify	#2 (identify	Program Option #3 (identify location/delivery method)
		n = (Number of students)	n = (Number of students)	n = (Number of students)	n = (Number of students)

Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80% of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80% of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80% of all students will rate a "4" or higher	83.3%	86.6%+80%= 166.6%/2= 83.3%	N/A	N/A
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80% of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80% of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%of all students will rate a "4" or higher	100%	100%+100%= 200%//2= 100%	N/A	N/A